



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 . Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,524	11/21/2003	John Paul Raasakka	60,130-1905;03MRA0373	3364	
26096	7590 10/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGH	AM, MI 48009		3612		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Applicant(s)	_	_			Ĭ,
## Dennis H. Pedder 3012 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Extensions of time may be available under the positions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled if the period from the positions of time may be available under the positions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled if the period from the part of the positions of the period o			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Dennis H. Pedder - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exeminate of summy be available of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a sply be limity filed Exeminated from the part of the provision of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a sply be limity filed If the period for reply specified above is less than tribry (30) days, a reply within the datulatory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than tribry (30) days, a reply with part of the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than tribry (30) days, a reply with part of the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than tribry (30) days, and a reply with the datulatory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered into the part of the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than tribry (30) days and the second reply specified	1		10/719,524	RAASAKKA, JOHN PAUL	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estentions of them myly be availation under the provisions of 3° CR1 1.136(a). In revenit, however, may a neply be timely filed by the period for empty specified above its test than thirty (30) days, and provided provided the provision of the period for reply specified above its test than thirty (30) days, and provided	1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Eathersies of time-may be available under the prevailence of 3° CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a rapidy be timely tilled - Eathersies of time-may be available under the prevailence of 3° CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a rapidy be timely tilled - If No period for regly specified above, the maximum databutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MCNT1S from the realiting date of this communication of the provided by the Office that then three more benefit will apply and will expire SIX (b) MCNT1S from the realiting date of this communication, even if firmly filled, may reduce any second patient term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) See Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11,13 and 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 11,13 and 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). - Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederleions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riphy be timely filed able to XK (6) MCNTIS from the mailing date of this communication. It is a set to XK (6) MCNTIS from the mailing date of this communication. It is a set to XK (6) MCNTIS from the mailing date of this communication. It is a set to the mailing date of the communication of the communication. Failur to reply within the set or extended pended for reply will. By status, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Citilia methan three maining about the mailing date of this communication, even if funely field, may reduce any occurred platent term deplatment. Set 57 CFR 1.174(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10.12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11.13 and 15-18 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 11.13 and 15-18 is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) 11.13 and 15-18 is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) 11.13 and 15-18 is/are as objected to . 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to . See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Offi			appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10.12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 3) Information Disclosure Statent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statent Application (PTO-152)	THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a veriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statut the maximum status of the set of extended period for reply will.	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-10.12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected to a requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:	Status				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-10.12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected to a requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:	1)⊠ 8	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 October 2004.		
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 11.13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	'=				
Algorithms Algor	· —	, 		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
4)	,—	• •	·	• •	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)	Dispositio	n of Claims			•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)□ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>11,13 and 16-18</u> is/are objected to	rawn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicatio	n Papers			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ T , F	the drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contractions.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)[All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
. apoa(c),	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	Mail Dateormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,524 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatass et al. .

Hatass et al. has closure frame 22, closure member 5/6, forward seal 7, and resilient member 20/14 mounted to the closure member frame at 18/8. The leading edge of the closure member passes over member 20/14 as it closes.

As to claim 3, see hollow at 14.

As to claim 7, the deformation is seen in comparing figures 3 to 2.

3. Claims 7-10 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Graf or Grimm et al..

Both resilient members project upwardly above the body structure and hence deflect airflow.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graf.

The resilient member 1 is substantially triangular and folds forwardly in opposition to an airflow direction.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,524 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graf in view of Raasakka et al..

The leading edge of the closure 6 passes over the resilient member 1 of Graf. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Graf a seal on the leading edge of the closure 6 as taught by Raasakka et al. to mate with an adjoining frame seal 24, and hence with the frame itself, and thus with the seal 2 of Graf in order to provide softer contact and less wear with either seal 2 or resilient member 1 of Graf. Conversely, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminate the seal 2 of Graf in favor of the single seal mounted to the closure as taught by Raasakka et al. in order to save the expense of the complex seal of Graf.

As to claim 5, rubber is well known as a seal.

As to claim 12, such contact is an obvious expedient to increase seal pressure as the forward and aft walls of Graf are shown separated by a small distance.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hatass et al., or Graf in view of Raasakka et al., in view of Schleicher.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,524 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in either set of references above a closed cell foam resilient member as taught by Schleicher as a known material for this environment.

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Graf or Grimm et al..

Both references show near contact between forward and aft sides of the hollow resilient member. Further closure movement to abut these sides is merely an obvious expedient to increase sealing force.

Double Patenting

9. Applicant is advised that should claim 16 be found allowable, claim 17 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 11, 13, 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the detailed action above.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

10/18/04

Art Unit 3612

DHP 10/18/2004